

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,616		06/25/2003	Mark Verdijk	VERD3003/JEK	2491
23364	75	90 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON 625 SLA		HOMAS, PLLC	THOMPSON, HUGH B		
FOURTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAN	NDRIA	, VA 22314	3634		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$				
		10/602,616	VERDIJK, MARK	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hugh B. Thompson II	3634					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	,-				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI consists of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. D period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of this ceriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 October 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection t							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the continuous three continuous transfer and the continuous transfer and transfer and transfer and transfer and tran							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ aj	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	ı.				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date	···/	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-10 of the Amendment filed 11-8-04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-11 under Section 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the references below. The rejection based upon Skyba has been drawn to a different claim set and clarified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 2, there is no antecedent basis for "the far ends thereof". Further, are the rungs of claim 2, the same of claim 1? If so, then they should be referred to as "the rungs."

With respect to claim 4, it is unclear as to if each/all of the hook elements have a pen.

Note also that protruding parts and hook elements have been presented as equivalents in the claims. The claim may be allowable if properly recited.

With respect to claim 6, only one "downward directed pen" has been set forth in claim 3.

101

Application/Control Number: 10/602,616

Art Unit: 3634

With respect to claim 8, there is no antecedent basis for "the second hook element". Further, the flange of the scaffold element is not a part of the claimed invention, thus the relationship between the groove and the thickness of the flange is given no patentable weight. Claims 9 and 10 recite a similar ambiguity.

With respect to claim 9, it is unclear as to the number of parts/hook elements required.

Note that a plurality of "protruding parts or hook elements" has been recited in claim 1. Claim 9 recites "the protruding part or hook element." Claim 11 recites a similar ambiguity.

With respect to claim 10, is "the wedge-shaped pen" the same as the one "downward directed pen" of claim 3? The claim may be allowable if properly recited.

With respect to claim 11, there is no antecedent basis for "the bottom/top of the support."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skyba #5,109,954. Skyba discloses a ladder comprised of vertical supports 22, 24, 26, protruding parts/hook elements 48A, 48B, 48C, 48D, which are provided on upper and lower portions of the supports and have passages there-through, spaced V-shaped brackets 20, having step surfaces thereon, wedge-shaped pivoting downward pen element 78, having a groove therein as best seen in Figure 16, and which is provided on element 48A.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,616

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hogan #1,305,107. Hogan discloses a ladder comprised of vertical supports 1, 2, protruding parts/hook elements 6, 13, 14, 16, which are provided on upper and lower portions of the supports and have passages there-through, spaced V-shaped brackets 17, having step surfaces thereon, and wedge-shaped (downward portion) pen element of hook element 16, having a groove therein as best seen in Figures 1 and 2, and a groove defined between elements 13 and 14.

Claims 1, 2, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wheeler #4,577,726. Wheeler discloses a ladder 2 comprised of spaced V-shaped rungs 20, vertical support 10, 18, and spaced protruding parts 34, 36, located along the length of the support 10, 18.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Glover #1,028,453. Glover discloses a ladder escape comprised of vertical support C, spaced V-shaped rungs D', and protruding parts A.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Clark #4,139,079. Clark discloses a ladder 22 comprised of vertical supports 12, 112, spaced rungs 24, and spaced protruding parts 54, 62, 64, associated with each rung.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Daubenspeck #1,191,405. Daubenspeck discloses a ladder escape comprised of vertical supports 2, 3, spaced rungs 5, and spaced protruding/hook parts 6-9, 11.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (703) 305-0102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,616

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3634

December 29, 2004